

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/407,184	09/27/1999	FARSHAD KHORRAMI	457020-2250.	2412	
20999 75	590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2686	17	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/407,184	KHORRAMI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joy K Contee	2686		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	larch 2004.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 13,19,25.26,28-37,40-43,49 and 50 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 37,40-43,49 and 50 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 13,19,25,26,28-36 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by th	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is consideration.	e Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
		daminer. Note the attached Offic	SE ACTION OF TOTH P10-152.		
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage		
2)  Notice (3)  Information	et(s)  See of References Cited (PTO-892)  See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Ser No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:			

Art Unit: 2686

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 13,19,25,26,28-36 is withdrawn in further view of Edwards et al., U.S. Patent NO. 4,684,929, previously used. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow. In the last office action Examiner inadvertently failed to include the aforementioned in the rejection based on Edwards, which reads on the limitation which incorporates a microwave frequency range, that is found in at least the independent claims 13,15,25,26 and 28.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13,25,26 and 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spillman, Jr., U.S. Patent No. 5,440,300, previously used, in view of Edwards et al. ("Edwards"), U.S. Patent No. 4,684,929, newly discovered.

٠٢`

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 13 and 25, Spillman, Jr. discloses a wireless communication system comprising:

a number of actuators (and devices each including at least one of a sensor and an actuator, and/or sensor, each having one or more antenna associated therewith and being adaptable to be located on or within an element, each sensor being adaptable to detect at least one respective predetermined characteristic (e.g., stress, strain or vibration, cracks or separation) of said element) each having one or more antenna associated therewith and being adaptable to be located on or within an element and being adaptable for causing said element to deform in a desired manner when actuated (col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 11); and

control transceiver means (i.e., RF coupling 68 in Fig. 9C), operable to communicate in a wireless manner with said number of actuators (and sensors), for supplying a modulated command signal, material characteristics of the respective actuator or actuators cause said modulated command signal to be demodulated and said element to achieve the desired deformation (i.e., reads on expansion and contraction) (and RF signal to at least one antenna)(col. 2,line 52 to col. 3, line 41 and col. 4, lines 27-37 and col. 5, lines 7-16);

whereby, in response to said modulated command signal, (an RF signal, the respective sensor or sensors and the at least one antenna associated therewith generate by use of electromagnetic coupling there between a characteristic signal indicative of a detected respective characteristic or characteristics and modulate the same so as to obtain an output signal and

Art Unit: 2686

transmit said output signal) material characteristics of the respective actuator or actuators cause said modulated command signal to be demodulated and said element to achieve the desired deformation (col. 2, line 40 to col. 3, line 11).

Spillman,Jr. fails to explicitly disclose wherein said control transceiver means communicates with each said actuator or actuators (and sensor) over a microwave frequency range.

In a similar field of endeavor, Edwards provides evidence wherein said control transceiver (i.e., transmitter 4, see Fig. 1) means communicates with each said actuator (reads on reflector) sensor (i.e., reads on reflector 8) over a microwave frequency range (col. 4, lines 3-13).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Spillman, Jr. to include antennae using microwave frequency ranges since it is known in the art that communication systems using the highly directive microwave frequencies are seemingly superior to other types of systems in that the properties of microwaves are similar to the properties of light waves.

Regarding claim 19,26 and 28 Spillman, Jr. discloses a wireless communication system comprising:

a number of actuators (and devices each including at least one of a sensor and an actuator, and/or sensor, each having one or more antenna associated therewith and being adaptable to be located on or within an element, each sensor being adaptable to detect at least one respective

Art Unit: 2686

predetermined characteristic (e.g., stress, strain or vibration, cracks or separation) of said element) each having one or more antenna associated therewith and being adaptable to be located on or within an element and being adaptable for causing said element to deform in a desired manner when actuated (col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 11); and

control transceiver means (i.e., RF coupling 68 in Fig. 9C), operable to communicate in a wireless manner with said number of actuators (and sensors), for supplying a modulated command signal, material characteristics of the respective actuator or actuators cause said modulated command signal to be demodulated and said element to achieve the desired deformation (i.e., reads on expansion and contraction) (and RF signal to at least one antenna)(col. 2,line 52 to col. 3, line 41 and col. 4, lines 27-37 and col. 5, lines 7-16);

whereby, in response to said modulated command signal, (an RF signal, the respective sensor or sensors and the at least one antenna associated therewith generate by use of electromagnetic coupling there between a characteristic signal indicative of a detected respective characteristic or characteristics and modulate the same so as to obtain an output signal and transmit said output signal) material characteristics of the respective actuator or actuators cause said modulated command signal to be demodulated and said element to achieve the desired deformation (col. 2, line 40 to col. 3, line 11).

Spillman, Jr. fails to explicitly disclose wherein each said antenna is a micro-strip type antenna.

Art Unit: 2686

In a similar field of endeavor, Edwards discloses wherein each said antenna (i.e., reads on phased array of antennae) is a micro-strip type antenna (col. 4, lines 18-27).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Spillman, Jr. to include use of micro-strip type antennae since it is known that it is typically less expensive to use micro-strip antennae, as taught in Edwards (col. 4, line 18-20).

Regarding claim 29, Spillman, Jr. discloses a system as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, wherein said control means includes tranceiver means for communicating in a wireless manner with each sensor and actuator.

Regarding claim 30, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claims 29, discloses wherein said transceiver means communicates with each sensor and said actuator over a microwave frequency range (see Edwards, col. 4,lines 3-13).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Spillman, Jr. to include antennae using microwave frequency ranges since it is known in the art that communication systems using the highly directive microwave frequencies are seemingly superior to other types of systems in that the properties of microwaves are similar to the properties of light waves.

Regarding claim 31, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, discloses wherein the processing means is located on or within structure (see Spillman, Jr., col. 1, lines 33-44).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 32, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, wherein the processing means is not located on or within said structure and wherein said processing means transmits each said processed signal to the appropriate one or ones of the actuators in a wireless manner (see Spillman, Jr., col. 4, lines 65-68).

Regarding claim 33, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, discloses wherein at least one of said number of sensors and said number of actuators includes only passive electronic devices (see Spillman, Jr., col. 4, lines 12-19).

Regarding claim 34, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, discloses wherein at least one of said number of sensors and said number of actuators includes a substrate portion inherently having non-linear material chacteristics (see Spillman, Jr., col. 2,lines 52-64).

Regarding claim 34, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 34, wherein said substrate portion is a piezoelectric ceramic material (see Spillman, Jr. col. 5,lines 22-25).

Regarding claim 36, Spillman, Jr. as modified by Edwards as in claim 28, wherein the at least one predetermined characteristics includes at least one of strain, acceleration, deformatijon and pressure (see Spillman, Jr., col. 5, lines 22-51).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 37,40-43 and 49-50 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2686

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is (703) 308-0149, M-F, 5:30 to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703)305-4379.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

## or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry or for informal or draft communications,

please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA

June 23, 2004